UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

| | ``` | | |
|---|--------|------------------------|--|
| In Re: Vitamin Antitrust Litigation |) | Misc. No. 99-197 (TFH) | FILED |
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: |) | MDL 1285 | MAY 1 2 2000 |
| Meijer, Inc. v. F. Hoffman-LaRoche Ltd., et al., |)) | | NANCYMAYER-WHITTINGTON, CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT |
| (W.D. Mich.) |) | | |

STIPULATION CONCERNING RESPONSES TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the undersigned, that for each defendant joining in this stipulation, the answer, motion against, or other response that was filed in response to the original or the first amended complaint in Meijer, Inc. v. F. Hoffman-LaRoche Ltd., et al. ("Complaint") shall, at each defendant's option, be deemed filed and responsive to the Second Amended Complaint that was filed by the Meijer plaintiffs on or about April 5, 2000 ("Second Amended Complaint").

On or before June 5, 2000, each such defendant may file a response to the Second Amended Complaint that incorporates that defendant's response to the Complaint and contains additional answers, defenses, or other responses as each defendant deems appropriate.

All defenses, including specifically the defenses of lack of jurisdiction and insufficiency of service of process, are preserved.